

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/075,560	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BRANDSTATER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Virginia Manoharan	<b>Art Unit</b> 1764	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Virginia Manoharan.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert C. Hyta.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 103

Claims discussed:

27-28 and 31-34

Prior art documents discussed:

OF RECORD

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*


**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
DISCUSSED THE REFERENCES OF RECORD. THERE'S A QUESTION OF OBVIOUSNESS WITH REGARDS TO  
THE ABOVE CLAIMS. APPLICANTS CANCELLING THESE CLAIMS (MAYBE PURSUED IN A CONTINUATION  
APPLICATION) SO AS TO PLACE THIS CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

  
VIRGINIA MANOHARAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1231 764  
8/3/05